|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Name: Jack Dong**  **12/11/20** |

**Oral Presentation Grading Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **8 points: the slides** | No | Partial | Yes |
| Are the slides well organized? |  |  | X |
| Is the information appropriate for the audience? |  |  | X |
| Is the information accurate and complete (i.e., not missing any major components, no typos)? |  |  | X |
| Provides motivation? |  |  | Good motivation to learn an unsupervised method |
| Are important parts emphasized? |  |  | Discussed all the important concepts |
| Are the tables/figures clear? |  |  | Well done! |
| Uses simple phrases and numbers? |  |  | X |
| Uses consistent font and format throughout? |  |  | X |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **7 points: the talk** | No | Partial | Yes |
| Was the talk slow (not rushed) and loud? |  |  | X |
| Adds to slides instead of just reading? |  |  | X |
| Was the talk engaging and held audience’s interest? |  |  | X |
| Made eye contact with audience over Zoom? |  |  | X |
| Handled questions well? |  |  | X |
| Talk was well rehearsed? |  |  |  |
| ~ 10 -15 minutes in length |  |  | 11 minutes |

**Additional Comments:**

Slides looked really good. They were well organized, used clear and simple phrases, easy to read, made good use of color.

You provided your audience with a good understanding of how the recommender systems works. It was a good idea to discuss the three different kind of recommender. You also explained step by step how k-mean clustering works and how the method evaluated the clusters by shape and distance between clusters. This really highlighted how difficult it is to choose the optimal k and gave us a sense of how subjective this method is.

Grade: 14.5/15